

Preparation of homochiral phenolic crown ether having chiral subunits derived from (1*R*,2*S*)-*cis*-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol: temperature-dependent enantioselectivity in complexations with neutral amines

Koichiro Naemura,* Takanori Wakebe, Keiji Hirose and Yoshito Tobe

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

Abstract: Crown ether (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** containing chiral subunits derived from (1*R*,2*S*)-*cis*-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol and the phenol moiety bearing the intra-annular OH group and the 2,4-dinitrophenylazo group at its *para*-position have been prepared in enantiomerically pure form. The association constants for the complexes with chiral amines have been determined at various temperatures by the UV-visible spectroscopic method and thermodynamic parameters of complex formation have been calculated. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd


Enantiomeric recognition of chiral guests by homochiral crown ethers has received much attention. Using various kinds of natural and synthetic homochiral compounds as chiral subunits, a variety of homochiral crown ethers have been synthesized and their chiral recognition behaviours in complexation have been well documented.¹ We have also prepared homochiral crown ethers containing chiral subunits derived from synthetic homochiral compounds, examined their chiral recognition properties in complexation with amines and given an explanation for the observed enantioselectivities on the basis of CPK molecular model examination.² Recently, the temperature-dependent inversion of enantioselectivity in the complexation of phenolic crown ethers with 2-aminopropan-1-ol was found³ and this demonstrated that, in order to discuss structural complementarity between a chiral host and a chiral guest on the basis of CPK molecular model examination and the observed enantioselectivity, it is important to know whether the observed enantioselectivity is governed by $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta H$ or $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta S$. We have now prepared homochiral azophenolic crown ether (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** containing the chiral subunits derived from (1*R*,2*S*)-*cis*-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol and its structural feature is that two *cis*-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol moieties limit conformational flexibility of the chiral cavity. The phenol moiety of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** contains the intra-annular OH group as a binding site for neutral amines and the additional *para*-2,4-dinitrophenylazo group which serves as not only a chromophore but increasing the binding ability towards neutral amines.⁴ The enantiomeric selectivity in complexation of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** with chiral amines was evaluated at various temperatures by the UV-visible spectroscopic method and thermodynamic parameters in the complexation have been calculated from the observed association constants.

Results and discussion

Homochiral *cis*-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-1,2-diol **6** has been prepared by a variety of methods.⁵ Now, (1*R*,2*S*)-**6** was derived from (*S*)-**4** which was resolved by an enzymatic method using an easily-available lipase. Oxidation of (\pm)-**3** with lead tetraacetate in benzene⁶ gave (\pm)-**4**,⁷ mp 73–75°C in 59% yield. After several attempts to resolve (\pm)-**4** by an enzymatic method, (*S*)-**4** was obtained by enantioselective alcoholysis of (\pm)-**4** using lipase QL from *Alcaligenes* sp. in a mixture of ethanol and hexane. The alcoholysis of (\pm)-**4** was terminated close to about 65% of conversion and silica

* Corresponding author. Email: hirose@chem.es.osaka-u.ac.jp

gel chromatography of the products gave (*S*)-**4** (30%), mp 71.5–73.0°C, $[\alpha]_D -86.8$ (10^{-1} deg. cm² g⁻¹) (CHCl₃) (>98% e.e. by HPLC analysis) and (*R*)-**5** (56%), $[\alpha]_D +21.0$ (CHCl₃) (49% e.e. by HPLC analysis). In the case of a practical preparation of a large quantity of the chiral subunit, (*S*)-**4** (83% e.e.) was reduced with LiAlH₄ at -78°C to give a 12:1 mixture of (1*R*,2*S*)-**6**⁷ and (1*S*,2*S*)-**7**,⁷ ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of which showed doublets at δ 4.71 due to the C1-methine proton of (1*R*,2*S*)-**6** and at δ 4.58 due to that of (1*S*,2*S*)-**7**. After chromatographic separation of the diastereoisomers, recrystallization of (1*R*,2*S*)-**6** from a mixture of hexane and benzene improved enantiomeric purity to give enantiomerically pure (1*R*,2*S*)-**6**, mp 128–129°C, $[\alpha]_D -27.4$ (CHCl₃) (>99% e.e. by HPLC analysis) whose absolute configuration was determined by comparison of the sign of its specific rotation with that reported in the literature.⁸




Reagents

i Pb(OAc)₄ ii Lipase QL, C₂H₅OH iii LiAlH₄ iv CH₃OCH₂Cl, [(CH₃)₂CH]₂NC₂H₅

The preparation of (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**1** with the homotopic faces was carried out in a stepwise manner; two chiral subunits of the same configuration were linked with a diethylene glycol unit and then with a *m*-phenylene unit. Treatment of (1*R*,2*S*)-**6** with chloromethyl methyl ether and diisopropylethylamine gave (1*R*,2*S*)-**8** (41%), mp 61.5–62.0°C, $[\alpha]_D +20.6$ (CHCl₃) together with (1*R*,2*S*)-**9** (14%), $[\alpha]_D -79.2$ (CHCl₃) and (1*R*,2*S*)-**10** (15%), which were separated by chromatography on silica gel. Condensation of two mol equiv. of (1*R*,2*S*)-**8** with diethylene glycol bis(*p*-toluenesulfonate) in the presence of NaH in boiling tetrahydrofuran (THF) gave (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**11**, $[\alpha]_D -36.3$ (CHCl₃) in 76% yield, which was deprotected with methanol and hydrochloric acid to give (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**12**, $[\alpha]_D -3.53$ (CHCl₃) in 89% yield. Ring closure of (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**12** with 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)-2,5-dimethoxybenzene in the presence of NaH and KBF₄ in boiling THF gave (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**13**, mp 144–145°C, $[\alpha]_D -17.9$ (CHCl₃) in 72% yield. For easy conversion of the dimethoxyphenyl moiety of (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-**13** to the benzoquinone moiety

of **15**, the inner methoxy group of (*S,R,R,S*)-**13** was selectively cleaved to give (*S,R,R,S*)-**14**, mp 168.5–169.5°C, $[\alpha]_D -12.0$ (CHCl₃) in 97% yield by treatment with sodium ethanethiolate in DMF.⁹ Oxidation of (*S,R,R,S*)-**14** with cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN) in acetonitrile gave **15** in 92% yield, which was directly treated with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine in a mixture of ethanol, methylene dichloride and conc. H₂SO₄ to give (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**, mp 179–182°C in 72% yield as fine red needles.



Reagents

- i ethylene glycol bis(*p*-toluenesulfonate), NaH, ii HCl, methanol,
 iii 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)-2,5-dimethoxybenzene, NaH, KBF₄, iv C₂H₅SH, NaH, v CAN,
 vi 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine


The association constants of the complexes of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** with chiral amines 1-phenylethylamine **16**, 1-aminopropan-2-ol **17**, 2-aminopropan-1-ol **18** and 2-amino-3-methylbutan-1-ol **19** were determined over the temperature range 5–36°C by the Rose–Drago method¹⁰ on the basis of the absorption maximum in the UV-visible spectrum of the complexes. An absorption maximum of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** appeared at 405 nm in chloroform and its complexes with these amines showed an absorption maximum in the region 575–588 nm. The K_a values, the thermodynamic parameters calculated from observed K_a values and the predictive isoenantioselective temperature ($T_{iso} = \Delta_{R,S}\Delta H / \Delta_{R,S}\Delta S$) are listed in Table 1. As the *S*-selectivity of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** towards **19** little changed during the experiment, the T_{iso} value of the complexation was not calculated.

Previously, we have prepared (*S,R,R,S*)-**2** in which the steric surroundings of the chiral cavity are similar to those of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** and interpreted the *S*-selectivity in the complexation with **16** in terms of steric interactions between the ligand of the amine and the steric barrier of the crown ether on the basis of CPK molecular model examination.¹¹ From the present result of the (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**:**16** complexation, we doubted whether the *S*-selectivity of the (*S,R,R,S*)-**2**:**16** complexation observed at 25°C is governed by $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta H$ or $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta S$. Therefore, we now examined the temperature-dependent enantioselectivity in complexation of (*S,R,R,S*)-**2** with these amines and the results are also given in Table 1.

In Figure 1, the enthalpy changes are plotted against the entropy change demonstrating that the plot for the complex formation of (*S,R,R,S*)-**2** with the amines affords a linear relationship; so-called enthalpy–entropy compensation effect¹² and, on the other hand, that of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** with the amines shows the characteristic feature. The ΔH -value for (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**:(*S*)-**19** interaction (○ in Figure 1) is 1.0 kJ mol⁻¹ less favorable than that for (*S,R,R,S*)-**2**:(*S*)-**19** interaction (● in Figure 1), while the ΔS -value for formation of the (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**:(*S*)-**19** complex is 17 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ less unfavorable than that for formation of the (*S,R,R,S*)-**2**:(*S*)-**19** complex making the ΔG -value for the (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**:(*S*)-**19** complexation 4.0 kJ mol⁻¹ (at 298 K) more favorable. The ΔH -value for (*S,R,R,S*)-**1**:(*R*)-**18** interaction

Table 1. The association constants of the complexes and thermodynamic parameters for complexation of crown ethers (*S,R,R,S*)-1 and (*S,R,R,S*)-2 with amines

Crown ether	Amine	K_a ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)				ΔH kJmol^{-1}	ΔS $\text{JK}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$	ΔG (298K) kJmol^{-1}	T_{iso} K
		mol^{-1}							
1	(<i>R</i>)-16	174 (5)	82 (15)	38 (25)	22 (35)	-50.3	-138	-9.2	111
1	(<i>S</i>)-16	235 (6)	108 (16)	60 (26)	30 (35)	-49.8	-133	-10.1	
1	(<i>R</i>)-17	806 (5)	366 (15)	181 (25)	90 (35)	-51.6	-130	-12.8	510
1	(<i>S</i>)-17	1.24×10^3 (5)	628 (15)	264 (25)	131 (35)	-54.1	-135	-13.9	
1	(<i>R</i>)-18	865 (5)	399 (15)	189 (25)	96 (35)	-52.4	-132	-13.0	300
1	(<i>S</i>)-18	787 (5)	414 (15)	194 (25)	93 (35)	-51.0	-127	-13.0	
1	(<i>R</i>)-19	370 (5)	178 (15)	84 (25)	47 (35)	-49.2	-128	-11.0	-
1	(<i>S</i>)-19	474 (5)	253 (15)	111 (25)	60 (35)	-49.5	-126	-11.8	
2	(<i>R</i>)-16	75 (5)	30 (15)	15 (25)	7 (36)	-54.9	-162	-6.7	105
2	(<i>S</i>)-16	121 (5)	58 (15)	24 (25)	12 (36)	-54.2	-155	-8.0	
2	(<i>R</i>)-17	1.11×10^3 (6)	425 (15)	182 (25)	78 (35)	-64.5	-173	-12.9	302
2	(<i>S</i>)-17	1.03×10^3 (6)	430 (15)	184 (25)	79 (35)	-62.2	-166	-12.8	
2	(<i>R</i>)-18	1.07×10^3 (5)	486 (14)	151 (25)	68 (35)	-66.8	-182	-12.6	374
2	(<i>S</i>)-18	486 (5)	220 (15)	93 (25)	41 (35)	-59.9	-163	-11.2	
2	(<i>R</i>)-19	198 (4)	74 (15)	39 (25)	17 (35)	-55.4	-156	-8.9	384
2	(<i>S</i>)-19	111 (5)	41 (16)	23 (25)	12 (36)	-50.5	-143	-7.8	

**Figure 1.** Enthalpy-entropy compensation plot for the complexation of (*S,R,R,S*)-1 (\diamond , \square and \circ) and (*S,R,R,S*)-2 (\blacklozenge , \blacksquare and \bullet) with amines.

(\square in Figure 1) is 14.4 kJ mol^{-1} less negative than that for (*S,R,R,S*)-2:(*R*)-18 interaction (\blacksquare in Figure 1) but the less unfavorable ΔS -value sufficiently compensates for the less favorable ΔH -value resulting in the larger negative ΔG -value for formation of the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:(*R*)-18 complex than that for formation of the (*S,R,R,S*)-2:(*R*)-18 complex. Since one reason for a small negative ΔS -value is a smaller conformational change, we infer that the conformation of the cavity of (*S,R,R,S*)-1, compared to that of (*S,R,R,S*)-2, is less flexible and so (*S,R,R,S*)-1 made a much smaller conformational change during complexation with the amines than (*S,R,R,S*)-2.

In Figs 2 and 3, we show the temperature dependence of enantiomer selectivities ($\Delta\Delta G = \Delta G_S - \Delta G_R$) of formation of the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:amine and the (*S,R,R,S*)-2:amine complexes, respectively, on the basis





Figure 2. Temperature dependence of enantiomer selectivity for the complexation of (*S,R,R,S*)-1 with amines; 16(···), 17(—), 18(···), 19(---).

of the K_a values observed at different temperatures. In the case of the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:19 complexation, it is not clear whether the *S*-selectivity was observed above or below T_{iso} . Figures 2 and 3 show inversion of the sign of $\Delta\Delta G$ -values for the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:18 and the (*S,R,R,S*)-2:17 complexations at the normal temperature but $\Delta\Delta G$ -values observed at every temperature are too small to assure inversion at *ca.* 30°C. The present data show that T_{iso} -points of the complexation of 16 with (*S,R,R,S*)-1 and with (*S,R,R,S*)-2 lie below 0°C and the *S*-selectivities increased with increasing temperature over the normal temperature; the *S*-selectivity of (*S,R,R,S*)-2 observed at 25°C is undoubtedly governed by $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta S$.



For the predictable *R*-selectivities of (*S,R,R,S*)-1 and of (*S,R,R,S*)-2 towards 16 governed by $-\Delta_{R,S}\Delta H$, we propose an explanation in terms of a steric repulsion between the ligand of the amine and the crown ether. On the basis of CPK molecular model examination of the complexes using the assumption that the phenolate oxygen atom necessarily participates in binding the amine¹³ and the most hindered site over the oxygen atom at the 8 o'clock position of the complexes as drawn is preferentially occupied by the hydrogen atom of the amine, the predicted geometries 20 and 21 are illustrated for the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:(*R*)-16, and the (*S,R,R,S*)-2:(*R*)-16 complexes, respectively. Judging from the steric requirements of CPK molecular models of the complexes and the predictable *R*-selectivities, we infer that the area over the oxygen atom at the 4 o'clock position is less hindered than that at the 12 o'clock position and so (*R*)-16 showed better complementarity to (*S,R,R,S*)-1 and (*S,R,R,S*)-2 than (*S*)-16. We infer that the conformation of the complexes of (*S,R,R,S*)-1 and (*S,R,R,S*)-2 with 16 of three point-binding mode¹⁴ is more flexible than that of the complexes of the phenolic crown ether with 2-aminoethanol derivatives of four point-binding mode¹³ and the flexible conformation of the complex leads to the small difference in a host-guest interaction between diastereomeric complexes; the (*S,R,R,S*)-1:16 and the (*S,R,R,S*)-2:16 interactions showed the smaller $\Delta_{R,S}\Delta H$ -value than the




Figure 3. Temperature dependence of enantiomer selectivity for the complexation of (*S,R,R,S*)-**2** with amines; **16**(- · · -), **17**(—), **18**(- · · ·), **19**(- - -).

interactions of these crown ethers with 2-aminoethanol derivatives **17** and **18**. As the $\Delta_{R,S}\Delta H$ -value was small, the sign of $\Delta_{R,S}\Delta G$ of the complexations of (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** and (*S,R,R,S*)-**2** with **16** was governed by $-T\Delta_{R,S}\Delta S$ ($T > ca. 110$ K); the *S*-selectivities were found at the normal temperature.

Experimental section

General

¹H n.m.r. spectra were recorded at 270 MHz on a JEOL JNM-MH-270 spectrometer for solutions in CDCl₃ with SiMe₄ as internal standard and *J* values are given in Hz. ¹³C n.m.r. spectra were recorded at 68.9 MHz on a JEOL JNM-MH-270 spectrometer and chloroform (δ_C 77.0) was used as chemical-shift reference. Mass spectra were recorded with 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as a matrix on a JEOL-DX-303-HF spectrometer. HPLC analyses were carried out on a Shimadzu LC-6A chromatograph using a chiral column Opti-Pak AD (Waters), 250×4.6 mm. Elemental analyses were carried out by Yanagimoto CHN-Corder, Type 2. Melting points were measured on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were measured on a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer. Optical rotations were measured using a JASCO DIP-40 polarimeter at ambient temperature and $[\alpha]_D$ values are given in units of 10⁻¹ deg cm² g⁻¹. UV and visible spectra were measured on a HITACHI 260-10 spectrometer. Lipase QL was supplied by Meito Sangyo and used without further purification. The homochiral amines (*R*)-**16**, (*S*)-**16**, (*R*)-**17**, (*S*)-**17**, (*R*)-**18**, (*S*)-**18**, (*R*)-**19** and (*S*)-**19** were purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc. and used without further purification.

(±)-2-Acetoxy-3,4-dihydro-1(2*H*)-naphthalenone **4**

A mixture of 3,4-dihydro-1(2*H*)-naphthalenone **3** (106 g, 0.726 mol) and lead tetraacetate (443 g, 1.00 mol) in dry benzene (1500 cm³) was stirred under reflux for 3 days and water was then added to the reaction mixture. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with benzene. The combined benzene solutions were washed with water and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a solid, which was recrystallized from ethanol to give (±)-**4** (87.0 g, 59% yield), mp 73–75°C; IR (KBr) 2960, 2900, 1735, 1695, 1600, 1373, 1245, 1230, 1055, 942 and 760 cm⁻¹; δ_H (CDCl₃) 2.23 (3H, s, COCH₃), 2.25–2.46 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.06–3.29 (2H, m, CH₂), 5.55 (1H, dd, *J* 5.4 and 11.9, CH(OAc)), 7.26–7.36 (2H, m, ArH), 7.51 (1H, ddd, *J* 1.5, 7.5 and 7.5, ArH) and 8.06 (1H, dd, *J* 1.2 and 7.5, ArH); HRMS (EI) Calc'd for C₁₂H₁₂O₃: 205.0865. Found: 205.0827. Anal. Calc'd for C₁₂H₁₂O₃: C, 70.57%; H, 5.92%. Found: C, 70.51%; H, 5.76%.

Optical resolution of (\pm)-4

A mixture of (\pm)-4 (30.0 g, 0.147 mol) and lipase QL (from *Alcaligenes* sp.) (5.0 g), ethanol (34.0 g, 0.740 mol) and hexane (2600 cm³) was stirred for 4 days at 18–20°C. The enzyme was removed by filtration and volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure. Silica gel chromatography of the products gave successively (*S*)-4 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 10:1 as eluent) (8.90 g, 30%) and (*R*)-5 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 10:1) (13.4 g, 56%).

For (*S*)-4: mp 71.5–73.0°C (in a sealed capillary); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –86.6 (c 0.77, CHCl₃) (>98% e.e. by HPLC) and the spectral data were completely in accord with those of (\pm)-4. Anal. Calc'd for C₁₂H₁₂O₃: C, 70.57%; H, 5.92%. Found: C, 70.52%; H, 5.70%.

For (*R*)-5: $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +21.4 (c 0.59, CHCl₃) (49% e.e. by HPLC); IR (KBr) 3100–3700, 2950, 2882, 1690, 1605, 1280, 1228, 1130, 1095, 1000, 942 and 750 cm⁻¹; δ_H (CDCl₃) 1.98–2.58 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.99–3.23 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.90 (1H, br s, OH), 4.39 (1H, dd, *J* 5.4 and 12.5, CH(OH)), 7.26–7.37 (2H, m, ArH), 7.52 (1H, ddd, *J* 1.4, 7.7 and 7.7, ArH) and 8.04 (1H, dd, *J* 1.4 and 7.7, ArH).

(*1R,2S*)-(-)-1,2-Dihydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene 6

A solution of (*S*)-4 (83% e.e. by HPLC) (10.3 g, 50.4 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (750 cm³) was slowly added to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (2.68 g, 70.5 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (1000 cm³) over a 12 h period at –78°C under a nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was then refluxed for 30 min. Acetone and aq. ammonium chloride were successively added to the reaction mixture with ice-cooling. After the deposited solid had been removed by filtration, the filtrate was washed with water and dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent gave a 12:1 mixture of (*1R,2S*)-6 and (*1S,2S*)-7, which was chromatographed on silica gel to give successively (*1R,2S*)-6 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) (5.80 g) and (*1S,2S*)-7 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:1) (450 mg). Recrystallization of (*1R,2S*)-6 from a mixture of hexane and benzene gave enantiomerically and diastereomerically pure (*1R,2S*)-6 (4.23 g, 51%), mp 128–129°C (in a sealed capillary); $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ –27.4 (c 1.00, CHCl₃) (>99% e.e. by HPLC); IR (KBr) 3650, 3253, 2950, 1360, 1250, 1200, 1120, 1078, 965, 775 and 740 cm⁻¹; δ_H (CDCl₃) 1.85–2.18 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.25 (2H, br s, OH), 2.73–3.03 (2H, m, CH₂), 4.03 (1H, ddd, *J* 3.6, 3.6 and 9.0, CH at C2 position), 4.71 (1H, d, *J* 3.6, CH at C1 position), 7.11–7.27 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.41–7.47 (1H, m, ArH); HRMS (EI) Calc'd for C₁₀H₁₂O₂: 164.0837. Found: 164.0846. Anal. Calc'd for C₁₀H₁₂O₂: C, 73.15%. H, 7.37%. Found: C, 73.13%. H, 7.09%.

For (*1S,2S*)-7: δ_H (CDCl₃) 1.79–2.20 (4H, m, CH₂ and OH), 2.90–2.93 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.83 (1H, ddd, *J* 3.5, 7.8 and 7.9, CH at C2 position), 4.58 (1H, d, *J* 7.9, CH at C1 position), 7.09–7.24 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.54–7.56 (1H, m, ArH).

(*1R,2S*)-(+)-1-Hydroxy-2-(methoxymethoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene 8

Chloromethyl methyl ether (8.86 g, 110 mmol) was added to a solution of (*1R,2S*)-6 (6.00 g, 36.6 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (83.8 g, 650 mmol) in dry chloroform (120 cm³) and the reaction mixture was then stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After aq. sodium hydrogencarbonate had been added to the reaction mixture, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform. The combined chloroform solutions were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. Silica gel chromatography of the products gave successively (*1R,2S*)-10 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 3:2) (1.34 g, 15%), (*1R,2S*)-8 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:3) (3.11 g, 41%), (*1R,2S*)-9 (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:3) (1.10 g, 14%) and (*1R,2S*)-6 (ethyl acetate) (900 mg, 15%).

For (*1S,2S*)-8: mp 61.5–62.0°C; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ +20.6 (c 0.99, CHCl₃), IR (KBr) 3350, 2950, 2900, 1500, 1458, 1440, 1352, 1145, 1100, 1042, 915, 840, 750 and 720 cm⁻¹; δ_H (CDCl₃) 1.88–2.27 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.72–3.05 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.87 (1H, d, *J* 5.8, OH), 3.39 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.03 (1H, ddd, *J* 3.2, 3.2 and 8.3, CH at C2 position), 4.75 (1H, dd, *J* 3.2 and 5.8, CH at C1 position), 4.77 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 4.83 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 7.09–7.25 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.47–7.51 (1H, m, ArH); MS

(FD) m/z (relative intensity) 509 [(M⁺+1) 28], 208 [(M⁺) 100], 191 (8) and 176 (8). Anal. Calc'd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃: C, 69.21%; H, 7.74%. Found: C, 69.40%; H, 7.51%.

For (1*S*,2*S*)-**9**: [α]_D²⁹ -79.2 (c 0.86, CHCl₃); IR (neat film) 3420, 3070, 3018, 2940, 2900, 2850, 1495, 1460, 1438, 1215, 1160, 1118, 1100, 1038, 920, 825, 775, 745 and 730 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.89–2.20 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.74–3.08 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.92 (1H, d, *J* 7.7, OH), 3.47 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.05 (1H, ddd, *J* 3.5, 7.3 and 11.3, CH at C2 position), 4.66 (1H, d, *J* 3.5, CH at C1 position), 4.85 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 4.88 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 7.12–7.27 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.35 (1H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.9, ArH).

For (1*S*,2*S*)-**10**: IR (neat film) 2940, 2890, 2838, 2820, 1495, 1458, 1210, 1150, 1100, 1040, 918, 775 and 750 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.90–2.35 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.83 (1H, ddd, *J* 7.0, 9.6 and 15.1, CH₂), 3.04 (1H, ddd, *J* 4.2, 6.5 and 15.1, CH₂), 3.42 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.43 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.04 (1H, ddd, *J* 3.2, 3.4 and 10.1, CH at C2 position), 4.77 (1H, d, *J* 3.4, CH at C1 position), 4.75 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 4.78 (1H, d, *J* 6.6, OCH₂O), 4.49 (1H, d, *J* 6.6, OCH₂O), 4.93 (1H, d, *J* 6.8, OCH₂O), 7.11–7.25 (3H, m, ArH) and 7.34 (1H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.9, ArH).

(-)-1,5-Bis[2'-(methoxymethoxy)-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydronaphthoxy]-3-oxapentane **11**

A solution of (1*R*,2*S*)-**8** (2.86 g, 13.7 mmol) in dry THF (30 cm³) was added slowly to a suspension of NaH (1.38 g, 57.6 mmol) in dry THF (30 cm³) and the reaction mixture was then refluxed for 1 h. After the reaction mixture had been cooled to room temperature, a solution of diethylene glycol bis(*p*-toluenesulfonate) (2.85 g, 6.88 mmol) in dry THF (30 cm³) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and the reaction mixture was heated at 50°C for 5 days under a nitrogen atmosphere. After a small amount of chilled water had been carefully added to the reaction mixture with ice-cooling, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with chloroform and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give (*S,R,R,S*)-**11** (hexane:ethyl acetate, 6:4) (2.52 g, 76%) as an oil, [α]_D²⁸ -36.3 (c 0.93, CHCl₃); IR (neat film) 2930, 2890, 1460, 1152, 1105, 1040, 920, 770 and 750 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.83–2.32 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.76 (2H, ddd, *J* 7.4, 7.4 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.02 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.3, 6.3 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.41 (6H, s, OCH₃), 3.67–3.93 (8H, m, OCH₂), 4.06 (2H, ddd, *J* 3.1, 3.2 and 8.9, CH at C2 position), 4.50 (2H, d, *J* 3.1, CH at C1 position), 4.76 (2H, d, *J* 6.9, OCH₂O), 4.78 (2H, d, *J* 6.9, OCH₂O), 7.02–7.22 (6H, m, ArH) and 7.37 (2H, dd, *J* 1.7 and 6.7, ArH), δ _C (CDCl₃) 23.7 (t), 26.7 (t), 55.4 (q), 69.2 (t), 70.9 (t), 73.6 (d), 77.9 (d), 95.1 (t), 125.6 (d), 127.7 (d), 128.4 (d), 129.3 (d), 135.2 (s) and 136.2 (s). The high-resolution mass spectrum could not be recorded because of the very weak molecular ion peak. MS (FAB) m/z (relative intensity) 509 [(M⁺+Na) 100], 487 [(M⁺+1) 5], 486 [(M⁺) 47], 485 (12), 455 (14) and 441 (48).

(-)-1,5-Bis(2'-hydroxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydronaphthoxy)-3-oxapentane **12**

A solution of (*S,R,R,S*)-**11** (2.45 g, 5.03 mmol) in methanol (190 cm³) containing conc. hydrochloric acid (1 cm³) was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. After aq. sodium hydrogen carbonate had been added to the reaction mixture, the volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. Silica gel chromatography of the products gave (*S,R,R,S*)-**12** (hexane:ethyl acetate, 1:2) (1.80 g, 89%) as an oil, [α]_D²⁸ -3.53 (c 0.91, CHCl₃); IR (neat film) 3400, 3060, 3020, 2950, 1462, 1110, 1088, 973, 775, 755 and 735 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.86–2.24 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.75 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.6, 8.8 and 15.5, CH₂), 3.02 (2H, ddd, *J* 5.7, 5.8 and 15.5, CH₂), 3.60–3.97 (8H, m, OCH₂), 4.06–4.07 (2H, m, CH at C2 position), 4.39 (2H, d, *J* 3.5, CH at C1 position), 4.43 (2H, d, *J* 7.2, OH), 7.10–7.24 (6H, m, ArH), 7.33 (2H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.9, ArH). The high-resolution mass spectrum could not be recorded because of the very weak molecular ion peak. MS (FAB) m/z (relative intensity) 421 [(M⁺+Na) 100], 399 [(M⁺+1) 81] and 391 (63).

(4S,13R,21R,30S)-(+)-35,37-Dimethoxy-3,14,17,20,31-pentaoxahexacyclo-
[31.3.1.0^{4,13}.0^{7,12}.0^{21,30}.0^{22,27}]heptatriaconta-1(37),7,9,11,22,24,26,33,35-nonaene **13**

A solution of (*S,R,R,S*)-**12** (1.70 g, 4.27 mmol) and 1,3-bis(bromomethyl)-2,5-dimethoxybenzene (1.52 g, 4.69 mmol) in dry THF (300 cm³) was slowly added to a suspension of NaH (307 mg, 12.8 mmol) in dry THF (150 cm³) over a 29 h period and the reaction mixture was heated at 50°C for further 3 days under a nitrogen atmosphere. After a small amount of chilled water had been added to the reaction mixture with ice-cooling, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with chloroform and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The products were chromatographed on silica gel to provide (*S,R,R,S*)-**13** (chloroform) (1.72 g, 72%), mp 144–145°C; [α]_D²⁸ +17.9 (c 0.97, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 2920, 1600, 1482, 1428, 1360, 1325, 1252, 1125, 1112, 1090, 1060, 1020, 758, 740 and 705 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.94–2.44 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.80 (2H, ddd, *J* 7.2, 7.3 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.07 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.2, 6.3 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.45–3.66 (8H, m, OCH₂), 3.77 (3H, s, OCH₃ at C35 position), 3.95 (2H, ddd, *J* 3.0, 3.0 and 8.7, CH), 4.02 (3H, s, OCH₃ at C37 position), 4.43 (2H, d, *J* 3.0, CH), 4.53 (2H, d, *J* 10.8, benzylic CH₂), 4.79 (2H, d, *J* 10.8, benzylic CH₂), 6.89 (2H, s, (MeO)₂ArH), 7.10–7.22 (6H, m, ArH) and 7.31 (2H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.4, ArH); δ _C (CDCl₃) 23.2 (t), 26.7 (t), 55.5 (t), 64.0 (q), 65.9 (t), 68.9 (t), 70.2 (t), 74.4 (d), 77.5 (d), 115.9 (d), 125.6 (d), 127.6 (d), 128.5 (d), 129.1 (d), 132.7 (s), 135.2 (s), 136.4 (s), 151.8 (s) and 155.0 (s). Anal. Calc'd for C₃₄H₄₀O₇: C, 72.83%; H, 7.19%. Found: C, 72.66%; H, 7.17%.

(4S,13R,21R,30S)-(–)-37-Hydroxy-35-methoxy-3,14,17,20,31-pentaoxahexacyclo-
[31.3.1.0^{4,13}.0^{7,12}.0^{21,30}.0^{22,27}]heptatriaconta-1(37),7,9,11,22,24,26,33,35-nonaene **14**

To a suspension of NaH (514 mg, 21.4 mmol) in dry DMF (150 cm³) was slowly added ethanethiol (1.46 g, 23.5 mmol) and then a solution of (*S,R,R,S*)-**13** (1.20 g, 2.14 mmol) in dry DMF (100 cm³) was added to the resulting clear solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 7 h at 90°C and cooled to 0–5°C. After a small amount of water had been added to the chilled reaction mixture, the volatile materials were evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with chloroform. The combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. Silica gel chromatography of the products gave a solid (chloroform), which was recrystallized from a mixture of hexane and methylene dichloride to give (*S,R,R,S*)-**14** (1.14 g, 94%), mp 169–170°C; [α]_D²⁸ –12.0 (c 1.13, CHCl₃); IR (KBr) 3320, 2860, 1660, 1482, 1445, 1348, 1255, 1150, 1115, 1082, 1058, 840, 760, 735 and 720 cm⁻¹; δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.88–2.44 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.80 (2H, ddd, *J* 7.4, 7.7 and 15.8, CH₂), 3.04 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.1, 6.1 and 15.8, CH₂), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.71–3.82 (8H, m, OCH₂), 3.92 (2H, ddd, *J* 3.1, 3.2 and 8.9, CH), 4.64 (2H, d, *J* 3.1, CH), 4.61 (2H, d, *J* 11.4, benzylic CH₂), 4.87 (2H, d, *J* 11.4, benzylic CH₂), 6.77 (2H, s, (HO)ArH), 7.11–7.22 (6H, m, ArH), 7.35 (2H, dd, *J* 1.5 and 5.7, ArH) and 7.73 (1H, s, OH). Anal. Calc'd for C₃₃H₃₈O₇: C, 72.51%; H, 7.01%. Found: C, 72.33%; H, 6.96%.

(4S,13R,21R,30S)-3,14,17,20,31-pentaoxahexacyclo[31.3.1.0^{4,13}.0^{7,12}.0^{21,30}.0^{22,27}]heptatriaconta-
7,9,11,22,24,26,33,36-octaene-35,37-dione **15**

A solution of (*S,R,R,S*)-**14** (200 mg, 0.366 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 cm³) was added to a solution of CAN (401 mg, 0.732 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 cm³) and then the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After the reaction mixture had been cooled to 0–5°C, water was added to the mixture. The mixture was extracted with chloroform and the combined extracts were washed with water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give **15** (hexane:ethyl acetate, 2:1) (178 mg, 92%) as a yellow solid, δ _H (CDCl₃) 1.88–2.39 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.77 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.4, 6.4 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.06 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.9, 6.9 and 15.7, CH₂), 3.60–3.71 (6H, m, OCH₂), 3.83–3.92 (2H, m, OCH₂), 4.07 (2H, ddd, *J* 2.8, 2.9 and 7.8, CH), 4.50 (2H, d, *J* 2.9, CH), 4.50 (2H, d, *J* 14.8, benzylic CH₂), 4.75 (2H, d, *J* 14.8, benzylic CH₂), 6.64 (2H, s, quinone

moiety CH), 7.09–7.23 (6H, m, ArH) and 7.38 (2H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.6, ArH). This was used for the next reaction without further purification.

Azophenolic crown ether (S,R,R,S)-1

A solution of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (280 mg, 1.41 mmol) in methylene dichloride (20 cm³) containing conc. H₂SO₄ (1 cm³) was added to a solution of **15** (150 mg, 0.283 mmol) in a mixture of methylene dichloride (10 cm³) and ethanol (10 cm³) and then the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with water and extracted with chloroform. The combined extracts were washed with aq. sodium hydrogencarbonate and water, dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel to give a solid (chloroform), which was further purified by preparative recycling HPLC (JAIGEL 1H and 2H column, chloroform as eluent). The solid obtained was recrystallized from a mixture of hexane and methylene dichloride to give (*S,R,R,S*)-**1** (145 mg, 72%) as fine red needles, mp 179–182°C; λ_{max} (CHCl₃) 405 nm (ε 2.07×10⁴); IR (KBr) 3300, 2900, 1605, 1542, 1470, 1438, 1355, 1300, 1138, 1125, 1105, 1075, 842 and 750 cm⁻¹; δ_H (CDCl₃) 1.95–2.47 (4H, m, CH₂), 2.82 (2H, ddd, *J* 7.4, 7.4 and 15.8, CH₂), 3.06 (2H, ddd, *J* 6.1, 6.1 and 15.8, CH₂), 3.72–3.90 (8H, m, OCH₂), 3.99 (2H, ddd, *J* 3.1, 3.1 and 8.7, CH), 4.67 (2H, d, *J* 3.1, CH), 4.65 (2H, d, *J* 10.9, benzylic CH₂), 4.99 (2H, d, *J* 10.9, benzylic CH₂), 7.12–7.25 (6H, m, ArH), 7.36 (2H, dd, *J* 2.0 and 6.9, ArH), 7.79 (1H, d, *J* 8.8, (NO₂)₂ArH), 7.84 (2H, s, (HO)ArH), 8.45 (1H, dd, *J* 2.2 and 8.8, (NO₂)₂ArH), 8.72 (1H, d, *J* 2.2, (NO₂)₂ArH) and 9.23 (1H, s, OH); δ_C (CDCl₃) 23.6 (t), 26.6 (t), 67.4 (t), 75.7 (d), 76.2 (d), 119.9 (d), 120.0 (d), 125.4 (s), 125.6 (d), 126.2 (d), 127.5 (d), 128.1 (d), 128.8 (d), 129.6 (d), 134.1 (s), 136.6 (s), 145.8 (s), 146.5 (s), 146.9 (s), 148.9 (s) and 161.2 (s). Anal. Calc'd for C₃₈H₃₈N₄O₁₀: C, 64.22%; H, 5.39%; N, 7.88%. Found: C, 63.88%; H, 5.22%; N, 7.74%.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan.

References

1. G. W. Gokel and S. H. Korzeniowski, *Macrocyclic Polyether Syntheses*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1982; P. G. Potvin and J.-M. Lehn, *Design of Cation and Anion Receptors, Catalysts and Carriers*. In *Synthesis of Macrocycles: The design of Selective Complexing Agents*; R. M. Izatt and J. J. Christensen, Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1987; p. 167; J. F. Stoodart, *Chiral Crown Ethers*. In *Topics in Stereochemistry*; E. L. Eliel and S. H. Wilen, Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1988; Vol. 17, p. 207; J.-M. Lehn, *Supramolecular Chemistry*; VCH Verlagsgesellschaft: Weinheim, 1995.
2. K. Naemura, and R. Fukunaga, *Chem. Lett.*, **1985**, 1651; K. Naemura, R. Fukunaga, and M. Yamanaka, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1985**, 1560; K. Naemura, M. Komatsu, K. Adachi, and H. Chikamatsu, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1986**, 1675; K. Naemura, T. Matsumura, M. Komatsu, Y. Hirose, and H. Chikamatsu, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1988**, 239; K. Naemura, R. Fukunaga, M. Komatsu, M. Yamanaka, and H. Chikamatsu, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **1989**, 62, 83; K. Naemura, K. Ueno, S. Takeuchi, K. Hirose, Y. Tobe, T. Kaneda, and Y. Sakata, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, **1996**, 383.
3. K. Naemura, J. Fuji, K. Ogasahara, K. Hirose and Y. Tobe, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1996**, 2749.
4. K. Naemura, Y. Nishikawa, J. Fuji, K. Hirose and Y. Tobe, *Tetrahedron: Asymm.*, **1997**, 8, 873.
5. K. Kabuto, M. Imuta, E. S. Kempner and H. Ziffer, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1978**, 43, 2357; K. Kasai, K. Kawai, M. Imuta and H. Ziffer, *J. Org. Chem.*, **1984**, 49, 675; S. K. Balani, D. R. Boyd, E. S. Cassiby, G. I. Devine, J. F. Malone, K. M. McCombe, N. D. Sharma and W. B. Jennings, *J. C. S. Perkin Trans. 1*, **1983**, 2751.

6. J. Koyama, T. Okatani, K. Tagahara and H. Irie, *Heterocycles*, **1980**, *29*, 1649.
7. Preparation of (\pm)-**4**, (\pm)-**6** and (\pm)-**7**: K. Hanaya, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **1967**, *40*, 1884.
8. A. M. Jeffrey, H. J. C. Yeh, D. M. Jerina, T. R. Patel, J. F. Davey and D. T. Gibson, *Biochemistry*, **1975**, *14*, 575.
9. G. I. Feutrill and R. N. Mirrington, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1970**, *16*, 1327.
10. N. J. Rose and R. S. Drago, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1959**, *81*, 6138.
11. K. Naemura, S. Takeuchi, K. Hirose, Y. Tobe, T. Kaneda, and Y. Sakata, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, **1995**, 213.
12. Y. Inoue, F. Amano, N. Okada, H. Inada, M. Ouchi, A. Tai, T. Hakushi, Y. Liu and L.-H. Tong, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, **1990**, 1239; Y. Liu, L.-H. Tong, Y. Inoue and T. Hakushi, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2*, **1990**, 1247.
13. T. Kaneda, K. Hirose and S. Misumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1989**, *111*, 742.
14. D. J. Cram, R. S. Helgeson, L. A. Sousa, J. M. Timko, M. Newcomb, P. Moreau, F. de Jong, G. W. Gokel, D. H. Hoffman, L. A. Domeier, C. S. Peacock, K. Madan and L. Kaplan, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, **1975**, *43*, 327.

(Received in Japan 10 June 1997)